arXiv:1603.08553v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 26 Jul 2016

Subadditivity in models of interacting lattice clusters

E.J. Janse van Rensburg
Mathematics and Statistics, York University
Toronto, Canada

July 27, 2016

Abstract

Subadditivity is an important tool for proving the existence of thermodynamic limits in the statistical mechanics of models of lattice clusters (such as the self-avoiding walk and percolation clusters). The partition functions of these models may satisfy a variety of super- or submultiplicative inequalities, and these may be reduced to a subadditive relation by taking logarithms, and changing signs, if necessary.

In this manuscript, the function $p_n^{\#}(m)$ into $\mathbb{N}$, with $(n,m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, is assumed to satisfy the super-multiplicative inequality

$$p_{n_1}^{\#}(m_1)p_{n_2}^{\#}(m_2) \leq p_{n_1+n_2}^{\#}(m_1+m_2).$$

Inequalities of these types are frequently encountered in models of interacting lattice clusters; see for example reference [10]. Several results on $p_n^{\#}(m)$ in reference [9] are reviewed here, and in particular, a proof is given that the limit

$$\log \mathcal{P}(\epsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor)$$

exists and is a concave function of ϵ under very mild assumptions. In addition, it is shown that for a convergent sequence $\langle \delta_n \rangle$ in $\mathbb{N}$, such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\,\delta_n = \delta$, the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\delta_n) = \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\delta)$$

exists. This corrects an unrecoverable flaw in the proof of theorem 3.6 in reference [9].

1 Introduction

Suppose that

$$Z_n(a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_n^{\#}(m)\, a^m \tag{1}$$

is the (canonical) partition function of a model of lattice clusters of size n (for example, lattice animals, or lattice trees, or lattice polygons). The parameter $a = e^{b/kT}$ (conjugate to an interaction energy b) is the *activity* of the model, and m is the *energy* of the model, conjugate to a. The *limiting free energy* is given by the limit

$$\mathcal{F}(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log Z_n(a). \tag{2}$$

If this limit exists, then it is the thermodynamic limit in the model.

The finite size free energy $F_n(a) = \frac{1}{n} \log Z_n(a)$ is analytic on the positive real axis in the a-plane. In the thermodynamic limit $\mathcal{F}(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n(a)$, and phase transitions in the model are seen as singular points in $\mathcal{F}(a)$ at critical values of a on the positive real a-axis [2, 11, 12, 15]. In the models of lattice clusters (such as lattice animals, polygons or walks) the existence of a limiting free energy is usually proven using subadditive or superadditive relations involving the finite size free energy.



(a) (b)

Figure 1: (a) A walk can be cut into two subwalks if it is cut in a chosen vertex (marked by the large bullet). Since each subwalk is a self-avoiding walk, this shows that $c_n\, c_m \geq c_{n+m}$. That is, $\log c_n$ is a subadditive function on $\mathbb{N}$. (b) Two polygons can be concatenated into one by placing the right-most, top-most edge of the first next to the left-most, bottom-most edge of the second (and by choosing the second polygon to have its left-most, bottom most edge parallel to the right-most, top-most edge of the first). There are p_n choices for the first polygon, and $\frac{1}{d-1}\, p_m$ choices for the second polygon, and each concatenated polygon has length $n+m$. This shows that $\sum_{m=0}^{n} p_{n-m}\, p_m \leq (d-1)p_n$ (where $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and $m \in 2\mathbb{N}$ – both n and m are even numbers). That is, $-\log(\frac{1}{d-1}\, p_n)$ is a subadditive function on $2\mathbb{N}$.

Subadditivity is a useful property in several areas of Mathematics and Physics. It is for example an essential property of outer measures in Measure Theory [14], is a property of cost functions in Economics [16], and was also shown to apply to entropy in Quantum Information Theory [13]. In the Statistical Mechanics of lattice clusters, it is encountered in Percolation Theory (see for example reference [3]), and in the study of the Statistical Mechanics of lattice animals, polygons and self-avoiding walks [1, 4–6] (see figure 1).

In lattice models with canonical partition functions of the type in equation (15) above, it is often the case that the concatenation of lattice clusters (see figure 1) gives supermultiplicative inequalities which involves a convulation of the type

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} p^{\#}_{n_1}(k)\, p^{\#}_{n_2}(m-k) \leq p^{\#}_{n_1+n_2}(m). \tag{3}$$

Multiplying by a^m, and summing m, gives

$$Z_{n_1}(a)\, Z_{n_2}(a) \leq Z_{n_1+n_2}(a). \tag{4}$$

In other words, $Z_n(a)$ satisfies a supermultiplicative inequality, and $-\log Z_n(a)$ is subadditive on $\mathbb{N}$. Examples of this is given by the models in figure 1.

Sometimes a weaker relation is obtained. For example, in figure 1(b), let $p_n(m)$ be the number of (unrooted) square lattice polygons of length n with energy m. Concatatenating polygons of lengths n_1 and n_2, and energies m_1 and m_2 as shown, gives $p_{n_1}(m_1)\, p_{n_2}(m_2) \leq p_{n_1+n_2}(m_1+m_2)$. In the d dimensional hypercubic lattice there are $\frac{1}{d-1} p_{n_2}(m_2)$ choices for the second polygon, so that $p_{n_1}(m_1)\, p_{n_2}(m_2) \leq (d-1)\, p_{n_1+n_2}(m_1+m_2)$. Putting $p^{\#}_n(m) = \frac{1}{d-1}\, p_n(m)$ gives the relation

$$p^{\#}_{n_1}(m_1)\, p^{\#}_{n_2}(m_2) \leq p^{\#}_{n_1+n_2}(m_1+m_2). \tag{5}$$

This is a supermultiplicative relation of $p^{\#}_n(m)$ into $\mathbb{N}$ with $(n,m) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, and it similar to, but not as strong as equation (3) (since equation (3) implies equation (5), and not vice versa).

The classical result on subadditive functions is Fekete's lemma [8]:

Theorem 1. (Fekete's lemma) *Suppose that $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is closed under addition and let $a_n : M \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a subadditive function on M. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} a_n = \inf_{n\geq 1} \tfrac{1}{n} a_n = \upsilon,$$

where $\upsilon \in [-\infty, \infty)$ and where the limit is taken in M. If $a_n \geq An$ for a finite A, then υ is finite and so $a_n \geq n\upsilon$. □

For example, if c_n is the number of self-avoiding walks of length n from the origin in the hypercubic lattice, then by figure 1(a) $c_n\, c_m \geq c_{n+m}$. Put $a_n = \log c_n$ in Fekete's lemma, and notice that $c_n \geq d^n$ in d dimensions, so that $a_n \geq n \log d$. Then it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log c_n = \inf_{n\geq 1} \tfrac{1}{n} \log c_n = \log \mu \geq \log d \tag{6}$$

and $\log c_n \geq n \log \mu$ and $c_n = \mu^{n+o(n)}$.

Generalisations of Fekete's lemma are known, and in appendix A of reference [10] two are given. In particular, one due to Wilker and Whittington [17], and a second due to Hammersley [7]. In this paper an additional generalisation of Fekete's lemma is examined. In particular, the relation in equation (5) is examined. Relations like equation (5) are often encountered when lattice clusters of sizes n_1 and n_2 are concatenated similar to the construction in figure 1(b), and where the *energies* m_1 and m_2 of the clusters are additive under the construction. This is, for example, shown above for lattice polygons.

Below I give a short review of results on relation (5) obtained elsewhere. In particular, the results in reference [9] are reviewed. A corollary of this is that given some mild assumptions, and the supermultiplicative relation in equation (5), the limit

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor) ZXZ[eqn7] \tag{7}$$

exists. This result is a generalisation of Fekete's lemma. Additional results are given in reference [9], some which are reviewed in reference [10]. The proof of theorem 3.6 in reference [9] has an unrecoverable error, and a new proof of this result is given below.

2 Existence of the limit in equation (7)

Assume that $p_n^{\#}(m)$ satisfies Assumptions 3.1 in reference [9]. These are reproduced as Assumptions A:

Assumptions A (Assumptions 3.1 in reference [9]):
Let $p_n^{\#}(m)$ be the number of polygons (or lattice clusters) of length n, and which have energy m. Assume that $p_n^{\#}(m)$ satisfies the following properties:

- **(1)** There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $0 \leq p_n^{\#}(m) \leq K^n$ for each value of n and of m.
- **(2)** There exist a finite constant $C > 0$, and numbers A_n and B_n such that $0 \leq A_n \leq B_n \leq Cn$ and $p_n^{\#}(m) > 0$ if $A_n \leq m \leq B_n$, and $p_n^{\#}(m) = 0$ otherwise.
- **(3)** $p_n^{\#}(m)$ satisfies a supermultiplicative inequality of the type

$$p_{n_1}^{\#}(m_1) p_{n_2}^{\#}(m_2) \leq p_{n_1+n_2}^{\#}(m_1 + m_2). \tag{8}$$

Define

$$\epsilon_m = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} A_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_M = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} B_n, \tag{9}$$

and assume that $\epsilon_m < \epsilon_M$. Since C is finite in Assumption 3.1(2), it follows that $0 \leq \epsilon_m < \epsilon_M \leq C < \infty$.

Lemma 2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{n} A_n \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} A_n = \epsilon_m$. Similarly, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{n} B_n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} B_n = \epsilon_M$.*

Proof. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $n = Nm + r$ for $0 \le r < N$.

By Assumption 3.1(3) above

$$p_n^{\#}(A_n)\, p_m^{\#}(A_m) \le p_{n+m}^{\#}(A_n + A_m).$$

This shows that $A_n + A_m \ge A_{n+m}$. In other words, $\langle A_n \rangle$ is a subadditive function on $\mathbb{N}$. By Fekete's lemma

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} A_n = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \tfrac{1}{n} A_n = \epsilon_m$$

and the limit on the left hand side exists and is equal to ϵ_m.

Similar to the above,

$$p_n^{\#}(B_n)\, p_m^{\#}(B_m) \le p_{n+m}^{\#}(B_n + B_m).$$

This shows that $B_n + B_m \le B_{n+m}$. Hence $\langle B_n \rangle$ is a superadditive function, and by Fekete's lemma

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} B_n = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \tfrac{1}{n} B_n = \epsilon_M.$$

The limit on the left hand side exists and is equal to ϵ_M.

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

The *density function* $\mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)$ is a log-concave function of $\epsilon \in (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_M)$ given by

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor). \tag{10}$$

Existence of this limit is proven in theorem 3.4 of reference [9]. By theorem 3.5 in reference [9], $\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)$ is a concave function of $\epsilon \in (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_M)$. We review these results and their proofs next.

The total number of lattice clusters of size n is $p_n^{\#} = \sum_{m\ge 0} p_n^{\#}(m)$.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 3.2 in reference [9])**.** *The growth constant $\mu_{\#}$ of $p_n^{\#}$ is defined by*

$$\log \mu_{\#} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}.$$

By Assumption 3.1(1), $\mu_{\#}$ is finite.

Proof. Sum the left-hand side of equation (8) over $\{m_1, m_2\}$. This gives

$$p_{n_1}^{\#}\, p_{n_2}^{\#} \le (1 + B_{n_2} - A_{n_2})\, p_{n_1+n_2}^{\#}.$$

By assumption 3.1(1) and by the generalisation of Fekete's lemma by Hammersley [7] the limit exists as claimed. □

Continue by choosing $m_1 = \lfloor \epsilon n_1 \rfloor$ and $m_1 + m_2 = \lfloor \epsilon(n_1 + n_2) \rfloor$ in equation (8). In the context of Assumptions A(3), this gives the following lemma.

Lemma 4 (Lemma 3.3 in reference [9])**.** *There exists a function z_{n_1,n_2}, dependent on ϵ, and with $|z_{n_1,n_2}| \le 1$, such that*

$$p_{n_1}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n_1 \rfloor)\, p_{n_2}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n_2 \rfloor + z_{n_1,n_2}) \le p_{n_1+n_2}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(n_1 + n_2) \rfloor).$$

Proof. Either $\lfloor a \rfloor + \lfloor b \rfloor = \lfloor a + b \rfloor$, or $\lfloor a \rfloor + \lfloor b \rfloor = \lfloor a + b \rfloor - 1$.

That is, for some given values of ϵ, n_1, and n_2, $\lfloor \epsilon n_1 \rfloor + \lfloor \epsilon n_2 \rfloor = \lfloor \epsilon(n_1 + n_2) \rfloor - 1$. In this event the supermultiplicative inequality in Assumptions A(3) gives $p_{n_1}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n_1 \rfloor)\, p_{n_2}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n_2 \rfloor + 1) \le p_{n_1+n_2}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(n_1 + n_2) \rfloor)$.

On the other hand, if $\lfloor \epsilon n_1 \rfloor + \lfloor \epsilon n_2 \rfloor = \lfloor \epsilon(n_1 + n_2) \rfloor$, then $p_{n_1}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n_1 \rfloor)\, p_{n_2}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n_2 \rfloor) \le p_{n_1+n_2}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(n_1 + n_2) \rfloor$.

Define $z_{n_1,n_2} = 1$ if $\lfloor \epsilon n_1 \rfloor + \lfloor \epsilon n_2 \rfloor = \lfloor \epsilon(n_1 + n_2) \rfloor - 1$, and zero otherwise. Then these two inequalities can be combined into $p_{n_1}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n_1 \rfloor)\, p_{n_2}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n_2 \rfloor + z_{n_1,n_2}) \le p_{n_1+n_2}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(n_1 + n_2) \rfloor)$ for any n_1 and n_2. □

The result in lemma 4 can be used to show that the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor)$ exists.

Theorem 5 (Theorem 3.4 in reference [9]). *Let $\epsilon \in (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_M)$. Then the limit*

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor)$$

exists. Moreover, there is an $\eta_n \in \{0,1\}$ such that for each value of n, $p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor + \eta_n) \leq [\mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)]^n$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Fekete's lemma; see for example theorem A.1 in reference [10].

Choose $n_1 + n_2 = n$, $n_1 = n - k$ and $n_2 = k$ in the supermultiplicative inequality in lemma 4. Then it follows that

$$p_{n-k}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(n-k) \rfloor)\ p_k^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon k \rfloor + z_{n-k,k}) \leq p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor). \qquad (\dagger)$$

Put $n = Nm + r$, where m is a large fixed natural number, and with $N_0 \leq r < N_0 + m$, for some fixed large N_0. Assume that $n \gg N_0$.

If $k = r$, then equation (†) becomes

$$p_{mN}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon mN \rfloor)\ p_r^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon r \rfloor + z_{mN,r}) \leq p_{mN+r}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(mN+r) \rfloor).$$

Increase N_0, if necessary, until $\lfloor \epsilon r \rfloor \geq A_r + z_{mN,r}$ (with A_r defined in Assumptions A(2)). Since $\epsilon > \epsilon_m$, and $z_{mN,r} \in \{0,1\}$, this is always possible.

Apply equation (†) to $p_{mN}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon mN \rfloor)$, with $k = m$, recursively, for $n = mN$, $m(N-1)$, ...,m. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor) &= p_{mN+r}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(mN+r) \rfloor) \\
&\geq p_{mN}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(mN) \rfloor)\ p_r^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon r \rfloor + z_{mN,r}) \\
&\geq p_{m(N-1)}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(m(N-1)) \rfloor)\ p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + z_{m(N-1),m})\ p_r^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon r \rfloor + z_{mN,r}) \\
&\geq \cdots \\
&\geq \left[\prod_{j=1}^{N} p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + z_{m(N-j),m}) \right] p_r^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon r \rfloor + z_{mN,r}).
\end{aligned}$$

Choose η_m to be that value of j in the set $\{0,1\}$ which minimizes $p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + j)$. Then the $z_{m(N-j),m}$ in the above may be replaced by η_m. The result is that

$$p_{mN+r}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(mN+r) \rfloor) \geq \left[p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + \eta_m) \right]^N\ p_r^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon r \rfloor + z_{mN,r}).$$

Take logarithms of this, and divide by $n = mN + r$. Fix the value of m and take the limit inferior as $n \to \infty$ on the left hand side. With m fixed, $N \to \infty$, while $N_0 \leq r < N_0 + m$, this becomes

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor) \geq \tfrac{1}{m} \log p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + \eta_m). \qquad (\bowtie)$$

Now take the limsup as $m \to \infty$ on the right hand side. Then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor) \geq \limsup_{m\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{m} \log p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + \eta_m). \qquad (\ddagger)$$

Proceed by choosing $n_1 + n_2 = m$, $n_1 = m - k$, $n_2 = k$, with $m_1 + m_2 = \lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + \eta_m$ and $m_1 = \lfloor \epsilon(m-k) \rfloor$ in equation (8). This gives

$$p_{m-k}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(m-k) \rfloor)\ p_k^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor - \lfloor \epsilon(m-k) \rfloor + \eta_m) \leq p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + \eta_m).$$

Define $\delta_{m,k}$ by $\lfloor \epsilon k \rfloor + \delta_{m,k} = \lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor - \lfloor \epsilon(m-k) \rfloor$. Clearly, $|\delta_{m,k}| \leq 1$, with the result that

$$p_{m-k}^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon(m-k) \rfloor)\ p_k^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon k \rfloor + \delta_{m,k} + \eta_m) \leq p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + \eta_m).$$

Increase k, if necessary, until $\lfloor \epsilon k \rfloor \geq A_k + 2 \geq A_k + \delta_{m,k} + \eta_m$, with A_k as defined in Assumptions A(2). Since $\epsilon > \epsilon_m$ this is always possible. Fix k, take logarithms and divide the above by m, and take the limsup on the left hand side as $m \to \infty$. This gives

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{m} \log p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor) \leq \limsup_{m\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{m} \log p_m^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon m \rfloor + \eta_m). \qquad (\diamond)$$

Comparison of this with equation ($\ddagger$) establishes the existence of the limit. By equation ($\bowtie$) there exists an $\eta_n \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor + \eta_n) \leq [\mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)]^n$ for each value of n. □

Concavity of $\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)$ (see equation (7) and theorem 5) is shown as follows.

Theorem 6. *$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)$ is a concave function of $\epsilon \in (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_M)$. $\mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)$ is continuous in (ϵ_m, ϵ_M), has right- and left-derivatives everywhere in (ϵ_m, ϵ_M), and is differentiable almost everywhere in (ϵ_m, ϵ_M).*

Proof. Choose ϵ and δ so that $\epsilon_m < \epsilon < \delta < \epsilon_M$.

Choose η_n be that value of j in the set $\{0, 1\}$ which minimizes $p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \delta n \rfloor + j)$.

Choose $n_1 = n_2 = n$, $m_1 = \lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor$ and $m_1 + m_2 = \lfloor (\epsilon + \delta) n \rfloor$ in equation (8). Then $m_2 = \lfloor (\epsilon + \delta) n \rfloor - \lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor$. Using the same arguments as in lemma 4, there exists an integer z_n, dependent on δ and ϵ, such that $m_2 = \lfloor \delta n \rfloor + z_n$ and $z_n \in \{0, 1\}$.

These choices in equation (8) give $p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor)\ p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \delta n \rfloor + z_n) \leq p_{2n}^{\#}(\lfloor (\epsilon + \delta) n \rfloor)$. One may replace z_n by $\eta_n \in \{0, 1\}$ so that

$$p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor)\ p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \delta n \rfloor + \eta_n) \leq p_{2n}^{\#}(\lfloor (\epsilon + \delta) n \rfloor).$$

Dividing this by n, and taking the limsup on the left hand side as $n \to \infty$, gives

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon) + \limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\lfloor \delta n \rfloor + \eta_n) \leq 2 \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\tfrac{1}{2}(\epsilon + \delta)).$$

Finally, equation ($\diamond$) in the proof of theorem 5 may be used to obtain

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon) + \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\delta) \leq 2 \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\tfrac{1}{2}(\epsilon + \delta)).$$

Thus, $\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)$ is concave in (ϵ_m, ϵ_M). □

3 Proof of theorem 3.6 in reference [9]

In many applications one is interested in the limiting behaviour of $p_n^{\#}(\delta_n)$, where δ_n is a sequence such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \delta_n = \delta$ with $\epsilon_m < \delta < \epsilon_M$. It should be expected that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\delta_n) = \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\delta)$$

in these circumstances, and below in theorem 7 this shown to be the case. Theorem 7 was first stated in reference [9] in 2000, but the proof presented there is irreparably flawed. The proof below fixes the proof of this theorem.

Theorem 7 (Theorem 3.6 in [9])**.** *Let δ_n be a sequence of integers such that $A_n < \delta_n < B_n$ for all $n \geq N_0$. Suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \delta_n = \delta$, with $\epsilon_m < \delta < \epsilon_M$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\delta_n) = \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\delta).$$

Proof. Apply inequality (8) $N - 1$ times to obtain

$$\left[p_n^{\#}(\delta_n)\right]^N \leq p_{nN}^{\#}(N\delta_n) = p_{nN}^{\#}(nN\, \tfrac{1}{n}\delta_n)$$

Take the logarithm on both sides, divide by nN and let $N \to \infty$. Then by theorem 5,

$$\tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\delta_n) \leq \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\tfrac{1}{n}\delta_n).$$

Take the lim sup on the left hand side of this inequality; since $\mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)$ is a concave function, it is continuous on (ϵ_m, ϵ_M), and in particular since $\frac{1}{n}\delta_n \to \delta$, the result is

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\delta_n) \leq \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\delta). \tag{11}$$

Next, notice that if $\delta \in (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_M)$, then

$$\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-k) \rfloor = \lfloor \delta k \rfloor + f_n \tag{12}$$

where $f_n = o(n)$. Define $\kappa_n = \left\lfloor \max\{\sqrt{n\,|f_n|}, \sqrt{n}\} \right\rfloor$ so that $\kappa_n = o(n)$ and $f_n = o(\kappa_n)$. Notice that $\kappa_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

Claim: There exists an N such that for all $n > N$,

$$\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor > A_{\kappa_n}.$$

Proof of claim: Let κ_n and f_n be as defined above.

By lemma 2 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\tfrac{1}{n} A_n \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} A_n = \epsilon_m.$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}(\delta - \epsilon_m) > 0$. Since $\kappa_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, this shows, in particular, that there is an N_0 such that

$$\epsilon_m \leq \tfrac{1}{\kappa_n} A_{\kappa_n} < \epsilon_m + \alpha, \quad \text{for all } n > N_0.$$

By equation (12),

$$\tfrac{1}{\kappa_n} \left(\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor\right) = \tfrac{1}{\kappa_n} \left(\lfloor \delta\kappa_n \rfloor + f_n\right).$$

If $n \to \infty$, then $\kappa_n \to \infty$ and this gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{\kappa_n} \left(\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor\right) = \delta$$

since $f_n = o(\kappa_n)$. In other words, for α as above, there exists an N_1 such that for all $n > N_1$,

$$\tfrac{1}{\kappa_n} \left(\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor\right) > \delta - \alpha.$$

Put $N = \max\{N_0, N_1\}$ and suppose that $n > N$. Then, by the above

$$\tfrac{1}{\kappa_n} A_{\kappa_n} < \epsilon_m + \alpha < \delta - \alpha < \tfrac{1}{\kappa_n} \left(\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor\right).$$

That is, for all $n > N$,

$$\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor > A_{\kappa_n}.$$

This completes the proof of the claim. $\triangle$

Notice that similar to the proof of the claim above, and by lemma 2 above, there is an M such that

$$\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor < B_{\kappa_n}$$

for all $n > M$. Indeed, notice that with κ_n as defined above,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{\kappa_n} \left(\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor\right) = \delta < \epsilon_M = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} B_n.$$

That is, for small $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}(\epsilon_M - \delta)$, there is a M such that for all $n > M$,

$$\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor < (\delta+\alpha)\kappa_n < (\epsilon_M - \alpha)\kappa_n < B_{\kappa_n}.$$

Put $J = \max\{N, M\}$. Then for all $n > J$,

$$A_{k_n} < \delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor < B_{\kappa_n}.$$

Since $\kappa_n = o(n)$ in the claim above, $n - \kappa_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Use equation (8) with $n_1 = n - \kappa_n$, $n_2 = \kappa_n$, $m_1 = \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor$ and $m_2 = \delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor$. Then

$$p^{\#}_{n-\kappa_n}(\lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor)\; p^{\#}_{\kappa_n}(\delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor) \leq p^{\#}_n(\delta_n).$$

Take logarithms, divide by n, and take the limit inferior on the right hand side as $n \to \infty$. Since $A_{k_n} < \delta_n - \lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor < B_{\kappa_n}$ for all $n > J$, and $\kappa_n = o(n)$, this gives

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\delta) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p^{\#}_{n-\kappa_n}(\lfloor \delta(n-\kappa_n) \rfloor) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p^{\#}_n(\delta_n)$$

by equation (10). This completes the proof, by equation (11). □

Equation (11) can also be obtained using the integrated density functions (see section 3.3 in reference [9], and similarly, section 3.3 in reference [10]). Let

$$p^{\#}_n(\leq m) = \sum_{j=A_n}^{m} p^{\#}_n(j), \quad \text{and} \quad p^{\#}_n(\geq m) = \sum_{j=m}^{B_n} p^{\#}_n(j).$$

By theorems 3.13 and 3.16 in reference [9], the integrated density functions are defined by the limits

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\leq \epsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p^{\#}_n(\leq \lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor) \quad \text{and} \quad \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\geq \epsilon) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p^{\#}_n(\geq \lfloor \epsilon n \rfloor) \tag{13}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_M)$. $\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\leq \epsilon)$ and $\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\geq \epsilon)$ are concave functions of $\epsilon \in (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_M)$, and moreover, are related to $\mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon)$ by

$$\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\epsilon) = \max\left\{\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\leq \epsilon), \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\geq \epsilon)\right\}, \quad \text{for } \epsilon \in (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_M). \tag{14}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be small and let N be large so that $\delta_n < (\delta + \epsilon)n$ for all $n \geq N$. Clearly

$$p^{\#}_n(\delta_n) \leq p^{\#}_n(\leq \delta_n) \leq p^{\#}_n(\leq \lfloor (\delta+\epsilon)n \rfloor).$$

Take logarithms on both sides, divide by n and take the limit superior on the left hand side. This shows that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p^{\#}_n(\delta_n) \leq \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\leq (\delta+\epsilon))$$

by theorem 3.13. Since $\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\leq \delta)$ is a concave function of δ, take $\epsilon \to 0^+$ to see that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p^{\#}_n(\delta_n) \leq \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\leq \delta). \tag{15}$$

A similar argument gives

$$p^{\#}_n(\delta_n) \leq p^{\#}_n(\geq \delta_n) \leq p^{\#}_n(\geq \lfloor (\delta-\epsilon)n \rfloor)$$

which gives eventually

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p^{\#}_n(\delta_n) \leq \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\geq \delta). \tag{16}$$

By equations (15) and (16), and by theorem 3.16 in reference [9],

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log p_n^{\#}(\delta_n) \leq \min\{\log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\leq\delta), \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\geq\delta)\} = \log \mathcal{P}_{\#}(\delta). \tag{17}$$

This is the same result as shown in equation (11) above.

Acknowledgements: EJJvR acknowledges financial support from NSERC (Canada) in the form of a Discovery Grant and is grateful to N Beaton and CE Soteros for pointing out the flaw in theorem 3.6 in reference [9].

References

[1] SR Broadbent and JM Hammersley. Percolation processes I. crystals and mazes. 53:629–641, 1957.

[2] TC Dorlas. *Statistical Mechanics*. Institute of Physics Publishing: Bristol, 1999.

[3] G Grimmett. *Percolation*, volume 321. Springer Verlag, 2 edition, 1999.

[4] JM Hammersley. Percolation processes II. The connective constant. *Proc Camb Phil Soc*, 53:642–645, 1957.

[5] JM Hammersley. Limiting properties of numbers of self-avoiding walks. *Phys Rev*, 118:656–656, 1960.

[6] JM Hammersley. The number of polygons on a lattice. *Proc Camb Phil Soc*, 57:516–523, 1961.

[7] JM Hammersley. Generalisation of the fundamental theorem on subadditive functions. *Math Proc Camb Phil Soc*, 58:235–238, 1962.

[8] E Hille and RS Phillips. *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, volume 31. AMS, 1957.

[9] EJ Janse van Rensburg. *The Statistical Mechanics of Interacting Walks, Polygons, Animals and Vesicles, 1st ed*. Oxford University Press, 2000.

[10] EJ Janse van Rensburg. *The Statistical Mechanics of Interacting Walks, Polygons, Animals and Vesicles, 2nd ed*. Oxford University Press, 2015.

[11] DA Kurtze and ME Fisher. Yang-Lee edge singularities at high temperatures. *Phys Rev B*, 20:2785–2796, 1979.

[12] ID Lawrie and S Sarlbach. Tricriticality. In C Domb and JL Lebowitz, editor, *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, volume 9, pages 65–161. Academic Press, 1984.

[13] EH Lieb and MB Ruskai. Proof of the strong subadditivity of quantum mechanical entropy. *J Math Phys*, 14:1938–1941, 1973.

[14] HL Royden. *Real Analysis, 3rd Ed*. Prentice-Hall, 1988.

[15] D Ruelle. *Statistical Mechanics: Rigorous Results*. Addison-Wesley, 1989.

[16] WW Sharkey. *Natural Monopoly and Subadditivity of Costs*. Cambridge University Press, 1982.

[17] JB Wilker and SG Whittington. Extension of a theorem on super-multiplicative functions. *J Phys A: Math Gen*, 12:L245–L248, 1979.